



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
PATENT TRANSMITTAL FORM

Applicant(s): **Craig P. Nadel**

Docket No.: NN001USU

Serial No.: **10/616,418**

Filed: **July 9, 2003**

For: **Projectile Launcher Including Audiovisual Stimuli**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Transmitted herewith is:

1. **Response to Restriction Requirement.**
2. **Postcard**
3. **Duplicate copy of this letter**

Please charge any additional fees or credit any such fees, if necessary to Deposit Account No. 07-2162 in the name of Grimes & Battersby. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 5, 2004

James G. Coplit  
Reg. No. 40,571  
Grimes & Battersby, LLP  
488 Main Avenue, Third Floor  
Norwalk, Connecticut 06851  
(203) 849-8300

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, ON March 5, 2004.

James G. Coplit  
NAME OF REGISTERED  
REPRESENTATIVE

  
SIGNATURE

3/5/2004  
DATE



*PATENT*

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: **Craig P. Nadel**  
Application No.: **10/616,418**  
Filed: **July 9, 2003**  
For: **Projectile Launcher Including Audiovisual Stimuli**  
Examiner: **Zerr, John W**  
Art Unit: **3644**  
Docket No.: **NN001USU**

Grimes & Battersby, LLP  
488 Main Avenue, Third Floor  
Norwalk, Connecticut 06851  
(203) 849-8300

March 5, 2004

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Dear Sir:

The Office Action mailed February 5, 2004 has been carefully considered. In this Office Action the Examiner required that Applicants elect for prosecution on the merits a single disclosed species of the invention if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The Examiner has indicated that currently Claim 1 was generic to all of the species identified in the Office Action, namely Species A as shown in Figure 1, Species B as shown in Figure 3 and

Species C not shown but embodied as a launcher comprising a spring and piston launching means.

Applicant hereby traverses this restriction requirement. There is a strong public policy to retain all embodiments of an invention in a single application to permit others to be able to refer to a single patent rather than a multiplicity of patents. Further, judicial and PTO economy mandate that all such features of an invention should be included and prosecuted together in a single application.

In light of the fact that Claim 1 is generic, Applicant believes that the subject restriction requirement should be withdrawn.

Should the Examiner continue to maintain this restriction requirement, Applicant provisionally elects for continued prosecution Species A as shown in Figure 1, which species is currently described in Claims 1-4, 8-21 and 25.

In light of the amendments and remarks, applicant respectfully requests that the restriction requirement be withdrawn and each of the species presently pending in this application be examined.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'James G. Coplin', written over a horizontal line.

James G. Coplin  
Reg. No. 40,571  
Attorney for Applicant